arXiv:0804.2836v1 [math.FA] 17 Apr 2008

FRECHET DIFFERENTIAL OF A POWER SERIES IN A BANACH ALGEBRAS

BENEDETTO SILVESTRI

ABSTRACT. We present two new forms in which the Frechet differential of a power series in a Banach algebra can be expressed in terms of absolutely convergent series involving the commutant $C(T) : A \mapsto [A, T]$. Then we apply the results to the analytic functional calculus in a complex Banach space.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1
1. Frechet differential of a power series of differentiable functions. 2
2. Application to the analytic functional calculus in a $\mathbb{C}-$Banach space 20
References 22

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra. In the main Theorem 1.11 it is proved that the Frechet differential of the map $\mathcal{A} \ni T \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n \in \mathcal{A}$, can be expressed in terms of absolutely convergent series involving the commutant $C(T) : \mathcal{A} \ni h \mapsto hT - Th \in \mathcal{A}$ with $T \in \mathcal{A}$, in three different forms containing $C(T)$, $C(T^n)$ or $C(T)^n$. Explicitly if $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$, the radius of convergence is $r > 0$ and $B_r(\mathbf{0})$ is the ball of radius r in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then we have

(1) for all $T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})$

(0.1)
$$g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=p+2}^{\infty} (n-p-1)\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+p)} \right\} \mathcal{R}(T)^p \right\} C(T)$$

(here all the series converge absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < r$),

(2) for all $T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})$

$$g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k} \right\} C(T^{k-1}). \tag{0.2}$$

(here all the series converge absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < r$),

(3) $\forall T \in B_{\frac{r}{3}}(\mathbf{0})$

$$g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} (g)^{(p)}(\mathcal{R}(T)) C(T)^{p-1}. \tag{0.3}$$

(here the series converges absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < \frac{r}{3}$ and $g^{(p)} : \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is the $p-$th derivative of the function g).

The proof of this result needs a general statement about the Frechet differentiability *term by term* of a power series in a Banach algebra. Although this last result was known - indeed for complex Banach spaces was proved by [Mar] whereas the proof for real Banach spaces, given for the first time in [Mic] - the proof in Lemma 1.9 has the advantage of giving for the particular case of Banach algebras a unified approach for both the cases real and complex.

Next in Corollary 1.16 we apply Theorem 1.11 to the case of the Frechet differential of a power series of differentiable functions defined on an open set of a $\mathbb{K}-$Banach Space and at value in a $\mathbb{K}-$Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$. As an application we obtain the formula found by Victor I. Burenkov in [Bur] (Remark 1.20).

Finally we apply Theorem 1.11 to the analytic functional calculus in a complex Banach space (Corollary 2.1).

1. FRECHET DIFFERENTIAL OF A POWER SERIES OF DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS.

Notations 1.1. *We denote by* $\mathbb{N}$ *the set of all natural numbers* $\{0,1,2,...\}$. *Let* $\mathbb{K}\in\{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}\}$ *and* $\langle G,\|\cdot\|_G\rangle$, *or simply* G, *be a Banach space over* $\mathbb{K}$, *then* $(\forall a\in G)(\forall r>0)$ *we define the open ball centered in* a *of radius* r, *to be the following set* $B_r(a)\doteqdot\{v\in G\mid\|v-a\|_G<r\}$, *hence its closure in* G *is* $\overline{B}_r(a)\doteqdot\overline{B_r(a)}=\{v\in G\mid\|v-a\|_G\leq r\}$.

Let F,G *be two Banach spaces over* $\mathbb{K}$, *briefly* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach spaces, then* $\left\langle B(F,G),\|\cdot\|_{B(F,G)}\right\rangle$, *will denote the* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach space of all linear continuous mappings of* F *to* G *and* $\|U\|_{B(F,G)}\doteqdot\sup_{\|v\|_F\leq 1}\|U(v)\|_G$, *we also set* $\left\langle B(G),\|\cdot\|_{B(G)}\right\rangle\doteqdot\left\langle B(G,G),\|\cdot\|_{B(G,G)}\right\rangle$.

Let $\{G_1,...,G_n\}$ *be a finite set of* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach spaces, then* $\left\langle\prod_{k=1}^n G_k,\|\cdot\|_{\prod_{k=1}^n G_k}\right\rangle$ *is the Banach space where* $\prod_{k=1}^n G_k$ *is the product of the vector spaces* $\{G_1,...,G_n\}$ *, and* $\|(v_1,...,v_n)\|_{\prod_{k=1}^n G_k}\doteqdot\max_{k\in\{1,...,n\}}\|v_k\|_{G_k}$.

If $(\forall k=1,...,n)(G_k=G)$, *then we will use the following notation* $\langle G^n,\|\cdot\|_{G^n}\rangle\doteqdot\left\langle\prod_{k=1}^n G_k,\|\cdot\|_{\prod_{k=1}^n G_k}\right\rangle$. *Let* $\{F_1,...,F_n,G\}$ *be a finite set of* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach spaces, then* $B_n(\prod_{k=1}^n F_k;G)$ *is the* $\mathbb{K}-$*vector space of all* $n-$*multilinear continuous mappings defined on* $\prod_{k=1}^n F_k$ *with values in* G. *If* $(\forall k\in\{1,...,n\})(F_k=F)$ *then we set* $B_n(F^n;G)\doteqdot B_n(\prod_{k=1}^n F_k;G)$.

In the sequel we shall deal with Frechet differentiable functions

$$f:U\subseteq F\to G$$

defined on an open set U *of a* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach space* F *and with values in a* $\mathbb{K}-$*Banach space* G. *Its Frechet differential function will be denoted by*

$$f^{[1]}:U\subseteq F\to B(F,G).$$

Recall that a map $f:U\subseteq F\to G$ *is Frechet differentiable at* $x_0\in U$ *if there exists a* $T\in B(F,G)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{\substack{h\to\mathbf{0}\\ h\neq\mathbf{0}}}\frac{\|f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)-T(h)\|_G}{\|h\|_F}=0.$$

T *is called the Frechet differential of* f *at* x_0 *and is denoted by* $f^{[1]}(x_0)$. f *is Frechet differentiable on* U *if* f *is Frechet differentiable at each* $x\in U$, *and in this case the map*

$f^{[1]} : U \to B(F, G)$ is called the Frechet differential function of f. For the properties of Frechet differentials see Ch 8 of the Dieudonne book [Dieu].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ (or briefly associative algebra) then the standard Lie product on $\mathcal{A}$ is the following map

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \ni (A, B) \mapsto [A, B] \doteqdot AB - BA \in \mathcal{A}$$

the commutator of A, B. By denoting by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of all maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$, let $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ be defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}(T) : \mathcal{A} \ni h \mapsto Th \in \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{L}(T) : \mathcal{A} \ni h \mapsto hT \in \mathcal{A} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{A}$. We also define the map $C : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$C \doteqdot -ad = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{R}.$$

We consider $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following mapping

$$u_n : \mathcal{A} \ni T \mapsto T^n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A Banach algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ (or briefly Banach algebra), see for example [Dal] *or* [Pal]*, is an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ on it such that $\langle \mathcal{A}, \| \cdot \| \rangle$ is a Banach space and $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|.$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ contains the unit element then it is called unital Banach algebra.

It is easy to verify directly that

$$(\forall T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{A})([\mathcal{R}(T_1), \mathcal{L}(T_2)] = \mathbf{0}). \tag{1.2}$$

By recalling definition (1.1) *we have $\forall T, h \in \mathcal{A}$ that $\|\mathcal{R}(T)(h)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|h\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\|\mathcal{L}(T)(h)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|h\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, therefore*

$$\mathcal{R}(T), \mathcal{L}(T) \in B(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.3}$$

with

$$\|\mathcal{R}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|\mathcal{L}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|C(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \leq 2\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are linear mappings we can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R} \in B(\mathcal{A}, B(\mathcal{A})) \\ \|\mathcal{R}\|_{B(\mathcal{A},B(\mathcal{A}))}, \|\mathcal{L}\|_{B(\mathcal{A},B(\mathcal{A}))} \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

We now present a simple formula which will be used later to decompose the commutator $C(T^n)$ in terms of $C(T)$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra, then $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\})(\forall A_1, ..., A_{n+1}, B \in \mathcal{A})$ we have*

$$\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} A_k, B\right] = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{s} A_k\right) [A_{s+1}, B] \prod_{j=s+2}^{n+1} A_j. \tag{1.6}$$

If $s = 0$ then the first factor of the summand should be omitted, if $s = n$ then the last one should be omitted.

Proof. For $n = 1$ it is easy to see that $[A_1A_2, B] = A_1[A_2, B] + [A_1, B]A_2$. We shall prove the statement by induction. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$, and (1.6) be true for $n - 1$, then $(\forall A_1, ..., A_{n+1}, B \in \mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} A_k, B\right] = \left[\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_k\right) A_{n+1}, B\right]$$

by $[A_1A_2, B] = A_1[A_2, B] + [A_1, B]A_2$

$$= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_k\right) [A_{n+1}, B] + \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_k, B\right] A_{n+1}$$

by hypothesis

$$= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_k\right) [A_{n+1}, B] + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{s} A_k\right) [A_{s+1}, B] \left(\prod_{j=s+2}^{n} A_j\right) A_{n+1}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{s} A_k\right) [A_{s+1}, B] \prod_{j=s+2}^{n+1} A_j.$$

□

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital associative algebra, then $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\forall T, h \in \mathcal{A})$ we have*

$$C(T^{n+1}) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T) \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-s} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^s \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-s} C(T).$$

Proof. The second equality follows by Lemma 1.2 where $A_1 = A_2 = ... = A_{n+1} = T$, the first one by the second and (1.2). ☐

The following equality is stated without proof in the exercise 19, $\S 1$, Ch. 1 of [LIE]. For the sake of completeness we give a proof.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital associative algebra, then we have $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ that*

$$C(T)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{R}(T)^k \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}.$$

Proof. Since by (1.2) $\mathcal{L}(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ commute it follows the statement. ☐

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital associative algebra. Then $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ we have*

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} \binom{n}{p} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} C(T)^{p-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-s} \mathcal{L}(T)^{s-1}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L} = C + \mathcal{R}$ and by (1.2) $C(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ commute we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} \mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1} &= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} (C(T) + \mathcal{R}(T))^{p-1} \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} \mathcal{R}(T)^{p-1-k} C(T)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{p=k+1}^{n} \binom{p-1}{k} \right) \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-1-k} C(T)^k \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{p=s}^{n} \binom{p-1}{s-1} \right) \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-s} C(T)^{s-1} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n} \binom{n}{s} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-s} C(T)^{s-1}. \qquad (1.7)
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Definition 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra, $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$, where the series defined in $\mathbb{K}$ is with the coefficients $a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ and has the radius of convergence $R > 0$. Then $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}} < R$ we can define*

$$f(T) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is well-known that T^n is Frechet differentiable. For the sake of completeness we give a direct proof of the Frechet differential function of T^n in several forms which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $u_n : \mathcal{A} \ni T \mapsto T^n \in \mathcal{A}$ is Frechet differentiable and its Frechet differential map $u_n^{[1]} : \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{A})$ is such that $u_0^{[1]}(T) = \mathbf{0}, u_1^{[1]}(T) = \mathbf{1} \in B(\mathcal{A})$, $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n^{[1]}(T) &= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} \mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1} \\
&= n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k} C(T^{k-1}) \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \binom{n}{p} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} C(T)^{p-1} \\
&= n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (n-s-1) \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(s+2)} \mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T). \qquad (1.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\|u_n^{[1]}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \leq n \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-1}. \qquad (1.9)$$

Proof. For brevity in this proof we write $\|\cdot\|$ for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. The cases $n = 0, 1$ are trivial. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ and $T, h \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} \mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1}(h) = hT^{n-1} + ThT^{n-2} + ... + T^{k-1}hT^{n-k} + ... + T^{n-1}h$$

so

$$(T+h)^n = T^n + \sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p} \mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1}(h) + \mathfrak{T}(h; T; 2).$$

Here $\mathfrak{T}(h;T;2)$ is a polynomial in the two variables T and h each monomial of which is at least of degree 2 with respect to the variable h. Hence

(1.10)
$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h\to\mathbf{0}}\frac{\|(T+h)^n-T^n-\sum_{p=1}^{n}\mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p}\mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1}(h)\|}{\|h\|}&=\lim_{h\to\mathbf{0}}\frac{\|\mathfrak{T}(h;T;2)\|}{\|h\|}\\&\leq\lim_{h\to\mathbf{0}}\frac{\mathfrak{T}(\|h\|;\|T\|;2)}{\|h\|}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{T}(\|h\|;\|T\|;2)$ is the polynomial in the variables $\|h\|$ and $\|T\|$ obtained by replacing in $\mathfrak{T}(h;T;2)$ the variable h with $\|h\|$ and T with $\|T\|$. Hence

$$u_n^{[1]}(T)=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p}\mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1} \tag{1.11}$$

and the first of equalities (1.8) follows. Therefore we have $(\forall T\in\mathcal{A})(\forall h\in\mathcal{A})$

(1.12)
$$\begin{aligned}u_n^{[1]}(T)(h)&=hT^{n-1}+ThT^{n-2}+...+T^{k-1}hT^{n-k}+...+T^{n-1}h\\&=hT^{n-1}+[T,h]T^{n-2}+hT^{n-1}+...+[T^{k-1},h]T^{n-k}+hT^{n-1}+...+[T^{n-1},h]+hT^{n-1}\\&=nhT^{n-1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n}[T^{k-1},h]T^{n-k}.\end{aligned}$$

This is the second equality in (1.8). The fourth equality in (1.8) follows by the second one, by the commutativity property in (1.2) and by Corollary 1.3. By the first equality in (1.8) and Lemma 1.5 we obtain the third equality in (1.8). Finally $\forall T,h\in\mathcal{A}$ by (1.11) and the (1.5) we obtain (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n^{[1]}(T)(h)\|&=\|\sum_{p=1}^{n}\mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p}\mathcal{L}(T)^{p-1}(h)\|\\&\leq\sum_{p=1}^{n}\|\mathcal{R}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{n-p}\quad\|\mathcal{L}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{p-1}\quad\|h\|\\&\leq\sum_{p=1}^{n}\|T\|^{n-p}\|T\|^{p-1}\|h\|\\&=n\|T\|^{n-1}\|h\|.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1.8. *Let $S \neq \emptyset$ and X be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$, then we define*

$$\mathcal{B}(S,X) \doteqdot \left\{ F : S \to X \mid \|F\|_{\mathcal{B}(S,X)} \doteqdot \sup_{T\in S} \|F(T)\|_X < \infty \right\}. \tag{1.13}$$

Then $\left\langle \mathcal{B}(S,X), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(S,X)} \right\rangle$ is a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$ and the convergence in it is called the uniform convergence on S in $\|\cdot\|_X-$topology *, or simply when this does not cause confusion, the* uniform convergence on S *, see Ch.*10 *of* [GT].

Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(S,X)$ then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$ converges uniformly on S *if there exists $W \in \mathcal{B}(S,X)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{T\in S} \left\| W(T) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k(T) \right\|_X = 0. \tag{1.14}$$

The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$ converges absolutely uniformly on S *or* converges absolutely uniformly for $T \in S$ *if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{T\in S} \|f_n(T)\|_X < \infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}(S,X)$ is a Banach space, the absolute uniform convergence implies uniform convergence.

Now we shall show that a power series $g(T) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n$ in a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is Frechet differentiable term by term, the corresponding power series of its Frechet differential $g^{[1]}$ is uniformly convergent on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{A})$ for all $0 < s < R$, and finally that $g^{[1]}$ is continuous, where the radius of convergence R of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is different to zero. The proof is based on the well-known results stating that uniform convergence in Banach spaces, preserves Frechet differentiability and continuity, see Theorem $8.6.3.$ of the [Dieu] for the first and Theorem (2), $\S1.6.$, Ch. 10 of the [GT] for the second one.

The Frechet differentiability of g can be seen as a particular case of the Frechet differentiability of a power series of polynomials between two Banach spaces. The first time for complex Banach spaces was proved in [Mar]. Whereas the proof for real Banach spaces, given for the first time in [Mic], used a weak form of Markoff's inequality for the derivative of a polynomial, see [Scha].

Our proof has the advantage of giving for the particular case of Banach algebras a unified approach for both the cases real and complex.

Lemma 1.9 (Frechet differentiability of a power series in a Banach algebra)**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K}$ be such that the radius of convergence of the series $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is $R > 0$.*

(1) *The series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n$$

converges absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < R$. [1] *Hence we can define the map $g : B_R(\mathbf{0}) \to \mathcal{A}$ as $g(T) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n(T)$.*

(2) *g is Frechet differentiable on $B_R(\mathbf{0})$ and*

$$g^{[1]} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}. \tag{1.15}$$

Here the series converges absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$, for all $0 < s < R$ [2] *and $g^{[1]}$ is continuous.*

Proof. $(\forall s \in (0,R))(\forall T \in B_s(\mathbf{0}))(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ we have $\|\alpha_n T^n\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq |\alpha_n| \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^n \leq |\alpha_n| s^n$, so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{T \in B_s(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n T^n\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| s^n < \infty.$$

Which is statement (1).

By (1.9) for all $0 < s < R$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| n s^{n-1} < \infty.$$

Hence the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}$ converges absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < R$. Thus the mapping

$$T \ni B_R(\mathbf{0}) \subset \mathcal{A} \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T) \in B(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.16}$$

---

[1] By Remark 1.8,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{T \in B_s(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n T^n\|_{\mathcal{A}} < \infty$$

for all $0 < s < R$.

[2] By Remark 1.8

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{T \in B_s(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} < \infty$$

for all $0 < s < R$.

is well defined on $B_R(\mathbf{0})$ and the series converges uniformly for $T \in B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < R$. Hence we can apply Theorem $8.6.3.$ of the [Dieu] and then deduce (1.15).

Now it remains to show the last part of the statement (2), i.e. the continuity of the differential function $g^{[1]}$. By the first part of Lemma 1.7 applied to the unital Banach algebra $B(\mathcal{A})$ and by (1.5) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ the maps

$$\mathcal{A} \ni T \mapsto \mathcal{L}(T)^n \in B(\mathcal{A}),\ \mathcal{A} \ni T \mapsto \mathcal{R}(T)^n \in B(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.17}$$

are continuous. Moreover the product is continuous on $B(\mathcal{A}) \times B(\mathcal{A})$ so by (1.17) and the first equality in (1.8) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u_n^{[1]} : \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{A}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{1.18}$$

By (1.18), the uniform convergence of which in the first part of statement (2), and finally by the fact that the set of all continuous maps is closed with respect to the topology of uniform convergence, see for example Theorem (2), $\S 1.6.$, Ch. 10 of the [GT], we conclude that $\forall 0 < s < R$ the mapping $g^{[1]} \upharpoonright B_s(\mathbf{0}) : B_s(\mathbf{0}) \subset \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{A})$ is continuous. This ends the proof of statement (2). $\square$

Remark 1.10. *By statement 2 of Lemma 1.9 we have*

$$g^{[1]}(T)(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T)(h).$$

Here the series converges absolutedly uniformly for $(T, h) \in B_s(\mathbf{0}) \times B_L(\mathbf{0})$, for all $L > 0$ and $0 < s < R$.

Theorem 1.11 (**Frechet differential of a power series**). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K}$ be such that the radius of convergence of the series $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is $R > 0$. Then*

(1) *for all $T \in B_R(\mathbf{0})$*

$$\boxed{g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=p+2}^{\infty} (n-p-1)\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+p)} \right\} \mathcal{R}(T)^p \right\} C(T).} \tag{1.19}$$

Here all the series converge absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < R$.

(2) *for all $T \in B_R(\mathbf{0})$*

$$\boxed{g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k} \right\} C(T^{k-1}).} \tag{1.20}$$

Here all the series converge absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < R$.

(3) $\forall T \in B_{\frac{R}{3}}(\mathbf{0})$

$$g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!}(g)^{(p)}(\mathcal{R}(T))C(T)^{p-1}. \tag{1.21}$$

Here the series converges absolutely uniformly on $B_s(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < s < \frac{R}{3}$ and $g^{(p)} : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ is the $p-$th derivative of the function g.

Remark 1.12. *If $R/3 \le s < R$ then in general the series in* (1.21) *may not converge, see for a counterexample the* [Bur].

Remark 1.13. *Clearly both* (1.19) *and* (1.20) *immediately imply that if $T, h \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that $[T, h] = \mathbf{0}$, then*

$$g^{[1]}(T)(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n h T^{n-1}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Lemma 1.9 and Lemma 1.7

$$\begin{aligned} g^{[1]}(T) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T) \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left(n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (n-s-1)\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(s+2)}\mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4) for all $0 < r < R$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1}\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|\alpha_n| r^{n-1} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|\alpha_n (n-s-1)\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(s+2)}\mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \le$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (n-s-1) r^{n-2}(2r) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|(n-1)n r^{n-1} < \infty. \tag{1.22}$$

Therefore

$$g^{[1]}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (n-s-1)\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(s+2)}\mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T). \tag{1.23}$$

Here each series converges absolutely uniformly on $B_r(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < r < R$. Inequality 1.22 also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (n-s-1)\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(s+2)}\mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T) &= \\
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=s+2}^{\infty} (n-s-1)\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+s)}\mathcal{R}(T)^s C(T) &= \\
\left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=s+2}^{\infty} (n-s-1)\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+s)} \right\} \mathcal{R}(T)^s \right\} C(T)&.
\end{aligned}$$

Here each series converging absolutely uniformly on $B_r(\mathbf{0})$ for all $0 < r < R$ and statement (1) follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\mathcal{R}(T)^s \mathcal{L}(T)^{k-(2+s)} C(T) \right\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} &= \\
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \alpha_n \mathcal{R}(T)^s \mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+s)} C(T) \right\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} &\leq \\
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} |\alpha_n| \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|\mathcal{R}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{s} \, \|\mathcal{L}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{n-(2+s)} \, \|C(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} &\leq \\
2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} |\alpha_n| \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-1} &= \\
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)|\alpha_n| \sup_{T \in B_r(\mathbf{0})} \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-1} &= \\
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)|\alpha_n| r^{n-1} &< \infty. \tag{1.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Here in the second inequality we used (1.4). Therefore

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{s=0}^{k-2}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\mathcal{R}(T)^{s}\mathcal{L}(T)^{k-(2+s)}C(T)=$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{k-2}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\mathcal{R}(T)^{s}\mathcal{L}(T)^{k-(2+s)}C(T)=$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\sum_{s=0}^{k-2}\mathcal{R}(T)^{s}\mathcal{L}(T)^{k-(2+s)}C(T)=$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\right\}C(T^{k-1}). \tag{1.25}$$

All the series uniformly converge for $T\in B_r(\mathbf{0})$. Here in the last equality we used Corollary 1.3 and the fact that $\mathcal{L}(C(T^{k-1}))\in B(B(\mathcal{A}))$. Moreover by (1.2)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{k=2}^{n}\sum_{s=0}^{k-2}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-k}\mathcal{R}(T)^{s}\mathcal{L}(T)^{k-(2+s)}C(T)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{n-2}(n-s-1)\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T)^{n-(2+s)}\mathcal{R}(T)^{s}C(T)$$

hence by (1.25) and (1.23) we obtain statement (2).

Finally we have $\forall s<\frac{R}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}&\doteqdot\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\sup_{T\in B_s(\mathbf{0})}\left\|\alpha_n\binom{n}{p}\mathcal{R}(T)^{n-p}C(T)^{p-1}\right\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}\\&\leq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\binom{n}{p}|\alpha_n|\sup_{T\in B_s(\mathbf{0})}\|\mathcal{R}(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{n-p}\|C(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}^{p-1}\\&\leq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\binom{n}{p}|\alpha_n|\sup_{T\in B_s(\mathbf{0})}\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-p}2^{p-1}\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{p-1}\\&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{n}\binom{n}{p}|\alpha_n|s^{n-1}2^{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| s^{n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \binom{n}{p} 2^{p-1} \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| s^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \binom{n}{p} 2^{p} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| s^{n-1} 3^n \\ &= s^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| (3s)^n < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the third equality in Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.9 we obtain statement (3). □

The previous Theorem 1.11 is the main result of the present chapter. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital $\mathbb{K}-$Banach algebra and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ a series at coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ having radius of convergence $R > 0$. We give for the first time the Frechet differential $g^{[1]}$ of the $\mathcal{A}-$valued function $g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n$, in a $C(T)-$ *depending uniformly convergent series on* $B_s(\mathbf{0})$*, for all* $0 < s < R$*, in statement* (1)*; and in a* $C(T^k)-$ *depending uniformly convergent series on* $B_s(\mathbf{0})$*, for all* $0 < s < R$ *and with* $k \geq 1$*, in statement* (2). This shall provides us to give immediatly a simplified formula for the value $g^{[1]}(T)(h)$ in case of the commutativity $[T, h] = \mathbf{0}$, with $T \in B_R(\mathbf{0})$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}$, see Remark 1.13.

Finally we give a different proof respect to [Rud] and in such a way generalizing that in [Bur], of the known formula in statement (3), in case $0 < s < \frac{R}{3}$, see Remark 1.15 and Remark 1.20.

Remark 1.14. *We note that formula* (1.19) *explicitly contains* $C(T)$ *as a factor, formula* (1.20) *gives an expansion in terms of* $C(T^k)$ *and finally formula* (1.21) *gives an expansion in terms of* $C(T)^k$*.*

Remark 1.15. *For all* T *such that* $\|T\| < \frac{R}{3}$ *and* $\forall h \in \mathcal{A}$ *we have*

$$g^{[1]}(T)(h) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} g^{(p)}(T) C(T)^{p-1}(h). \tag{1.26}$$

Here the series is uniformly convergent for $(T, h) \in B_s(\mathbf{0}) \times B_L(\mathbf{0})$ *for all* $0 < s < \frac{R}{3}$ *and* $L > 0$*.*

Corollary 1.16 (Frechet differential of a power series of differentiable functions defined on an open set of a $\mathbb{K}-$Banach Space and at value in a $\mathbb{K}-$Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$)**.**

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra, and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K}$ be such that the radius of convergence of the series $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is $R > 0$ and $0 < s < R$. Finally let X be a Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$, $D \subseteq X$ an open set in X and $T : D \to \mathcal{A}$ a Frechet differentiable mapping such that $T(D) \subseteq B_s(\mathbf{0})$ or alternatively D is convex and bounded and $\sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$. If we set $\widetilde{s} \doteqdot \sup_{x\in D} \|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, then

(1) *$\widetilde{s} < \infty$ and if $\widetilde{s} < R$ then*

$$g \circ T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n.$$

Here the series is uniformly convergent on D, while $T^n : D \ni x \mapsto T(x)^n$.

(2) *If $0 < \widetilde{s} < R$ then the function $g \circ T$ is Frechet differentiable and*

$$[g \circ T]^{[1]}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T(x)) T^{[1]}(x), \quad \forall x \in D. \tag{1.27}$$

Here the series converges in $B(X, \mathcal{A})$. Moreover

(a) *If $T^{[1]} : D \to B(X, \mathcal{A})$ is continuous then the function $[g \circ T]^{[1]} : D \to B(X, \mathcal{A})$, is also continuous.*

(b) *If $\sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$, then the series in* (1.27) *absolutely uniformly converges on D.*

Proof. Let us consider the case in which D is convex and bounded, and $\sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$. Let $a, b \in D$ and $S_{a,b}$ the segment jointing a, b. D is convex so $S_{a,b} \subset D$. By an application of the Mean Value Theorem, see Theorem $8.6.2.$ of [Dieu], we have for any $x_0 \in D$

$$\|T(b) - T(a) - T^{[1]}(x_0)(b-a)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|b-a\|_X \cdot \sup_{x\in S_{a,b}} \|T^{[1]}(x) - T^{[1]}(x_0)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})}.$$

Thus by $\|A\| - \|B\| \leq \|A - B\|$ in any normed space, we have fixed $b \in D$ and $x_0 \in D$, that $\forall a \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{a\in D} \|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{A}} &\leq \\ \sup_{a\in D} \|T(b) - T^{[1]}(x_0)(b-a)\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sup_{a\in D} \|b-a\|_X \cdot \sup_{a\in D} \sup_{x\in S_{a,b}} \|T^{[1]}(x) - T^{[1]}(x_0)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} &\leq \\ \|T(b)\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|T^{[1]}(x_0)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \sup_{a\in D} \|b-a\|_X + \sup_{a\in D} \|b-a\|_X \cdot \sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x) - T^{[1]}(x_0)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} &\leq \\ \|T(b)\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sup_{a\in D} \|b-a\|_X \cdot \left(2\|T^{[1]}(x_0)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} + \sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \right) &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.28}$$

Here we considered that D is bounded and $\sup_{x\in D}\|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})}<\infty$ by hypothesis. So by (1.28) $\widetilde{s}<\infty$ which is the first part of statement (1).

Let $D\subseteq X$ be the open set of which in the hypotheses. By $\widetilde{s}<\infty$ we can assume that $0<\widetilde{s}<R$, then the second part of statement (1) follows by statement (1) of Lemma 1.9.

In the sequel of the proof we assume that $0<\widetilde{s}<R$. By statement (2) of Lemma 1.9 and by the Chain Theorem, see $8.2.1.$ of the [Dieu], $g\circ T$ is Frechet differentiable and its differential map is

(1.29)

$$[g\circ T]^{[1]}:D\ni x\mapsto g^{[1]}(T(x))\circ T^{[1]}(x)=\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T(x))\right\}\circ T^{[1]}(x)\in B(X,\mathcal{A}),$$

where in the second equality we considered that uniform convergence implies puntual convergence. By statement (2) of Lemma 1.9 and $\widetilde{s}<R$ the previous series converges in $B(\mathcal{A})$, moreover if we set

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:B(\mathcal{A})\times B(X,\mathcal{A})\ni(\phi,\psi)\mapsto\phi\circ\psi\in B(X,\mathcal{A})$$

then it is bilinear and continuous i.e.

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\in B_2(B(\mathcal{A})\times B(X,\mathcal{A});B(X,\mathcal{A})),\tag{1.30}$$

since $\|\phi\circ\psi\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})}\leq\|\phi\|_{B(\mathcal{A})}\cdot\|\psi\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})}$. Therefore by (1.29) follows (1.27).

Set

$$\Gamma:D\ni x\mapsto(g^{[1]}\circ T(x),T^{[1]}(x))\in B(\mathcal{A})\times B(X,\mathcal{A}).$$

By (1.29)

$$[g\circ T]^{[1]}=\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\circ\Gamma.\tag{1.31}$$

$T^{[1]}$ is continuous by hypothesis, and $g^{[1]}$ is continuous by statement (2) of Lemma 1.9, while T is continuous being differentiable by hypothesis, so $g^{[1]}\circ T$ is continuous. Therefore by Proposition 1, $\S4.1.$, Ch 1, of the [GT] the map Γ is continuous. Thus by (1.31) and (1.30) $[g\circ T]^{[1]}$ is continuous and statement (2a) follows.

By (1.9)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{x\in D} \|\alpha_n u_n^{[1]}(T(x)) \circ T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \leq$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{x\in D} |\alpha_n| \|u_n^{[1]}(T(x))\|_{B(\mathcal{A})} \cdot \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \leq$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{x\in D} |\alpha_n| n \|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-1} \cdot \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \leq$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{x\in D} |\alpha_n| n \|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{n-1} \cdot \sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} \leq$$

$$J \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| n \widetilde{s}^{n-1} < \infty,$$

where $J \doteqdot \sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})}$ and statement (2b) follows. □

Remark 1.17. *By* (1.29)*, statement* (3) *of Theorem 1.11 and* (1.30)*, if* $0 < \widetilde{s} < \frac{R}{3}$*, we have* $\forall x \in D$

$$[g \circ T]^{[1]}(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} g^{(p)}(\mathcal{R}(T(x))) C(T(x))^{p-1} T^{[1]}(x). \tag{1.32}$$

In addition if $\sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$*, then the series in the* (1.32) *is absolutely uniformly convergent on* D*. If* $0 < \widetilde{s} < \frac{R}{3}$ *by* (1.32) *we have* $\forall h \in X$

$$[g \circ T]^{[1]}(x)(h) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} g^{(p)}(T(x)) C(T(x))^{p-1} (T^{1}(x)(h)). \tag{1.33}$$

In addition if $\sup_{x\in D} \|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$*, then the series in the* (1.33) *is absolutely uniformly convergent for* $(x,h) \in D \times B_L(\mathbf{0})$*, for all* $L > 0$*.*

Remark 1.18. *In a similar way of the proof of statement 2b of Corollary 1.16 we have*

(1) *if* $0 < \widetilde{s} < R$

(1.34)

$$[g \circ T]^{[1]}(x)(h) =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n T^{[1]}(x)(h)T(x)^{n-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{p=0}^{n-2}(n-p-1)\alpha_n T(x)^p[T(x), T^{[1]}(x)(h)]T(x)^{n-(2+p)} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n T^{[1]}(x)(h)T(x)^{n-1} + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=p+2}^{\infty}(n-p-1)\alpha_n T(x)^p[T(x), T^{[1]}(x)(h)]T(x)^{n-(2+p)}.$$

If in addition $\sup_{x\in D}\|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$ *then all the series in* (1.34) *are absolutely uniformly convergent for* $(x,h) \in D \times B_L(\mathbf{0})$*, for all* $L > 0$.

(2) *If* $0 < \widetilde{s} < R$ *we have*

$$[g \circ T]^{[1]}(x) =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \mathcal{L}(T(x))^{n-1}T^{[1]}(x) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\alpha_n\mathcal{L}(T(x))^{n-k}\right\}C(T(x)^{k-1})T^{[1]}(x). \tag{1.35}$$

If in addition $\sup_{x\in D}\|T^{[1]}(x)\|_{B(X,\mathcal{A})} < \infty$ *then all the series in* (1.35) *are absolutely uniformly convergent on* D.

Definition 1.19. *Let* $\langle G, \|\cdot\|_G\rangle$ *be a* $\mathbb{C}-$*Banach space, then we denote by* $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ *the vector space* G *over* $\mathbb{R}$ *whose operation of summation is the same of that of the* $\mathbb{C}-$*vector space* G*, and whose multiplication by scalars is the restriction to* $\mathbb{R}\times G$ *of the multiplication by scalars on* $\mathbb{C}\times G$*, finally we set* $\|\cdot\|_{G_{\mathbb{R}}} \doteqdot \|\cdot\|_G$*. Then* $\langle G_{\mathbb{R}}, \|\cdot\|_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}\rangle$ *is a Banach space over* $\mathbb{R}$ *and will be called the* $\mathbb{R}-$*Banach space associated to* $\langle G, \|\cdot\|_G\rangle$.

Let F, G *be two* $\mathbb{C}-$*Banach spaces then of course* $B(F,G) \subset B(F_{\mathbb{R}}, G_{\mathbb{R}})$*, where the inclusion is to be intended only as a set inclusion. Let* $A \subseteq F$ *then if* A *is open in* F *it is open also in* $F_{\mathbb{R}}$*. For a mapping* $f : A \subseteq F \to G$*, we will denote with the symbol* $f^{\mathbb{R}} : A \subseteq F_{\mathbb{R}} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$ *the same mapping but considered defined in the subset* A *of the* $\mathbb{R}-$*Banach space associated to* F *and at values in the* $\mathbb{R}-$*Banach space associated to* G.

Remark 1.20. *Let* Y, Z *be two* $\mathbb{C}-$*Banach spaces, then by considering that* $B(Y,Z) \subset B(Y_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_{\mathbb{R}})$*, we have that for each Frechet differential function* $f : A \subseteq Y \to Z$ *the same function* $f^{\mathbb{R}} : A \subseteq Y_{\mathbb{R}} \to Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ *considered in the corresponding real Banach spaces, is differentiable, in addition* $f^{[1]} = (f^{\mathbb{R}})^{[1]}$*. Therefore if we get a real Banach space* X*, we shall obtain the same statements of Corollary 1.16, Remark 1.17 and Remark 1.18* *by replacing $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular by taking $X \doteqdot \mathbb{R}$ we obtain by* (1.33) *that if $0 < \widetilde{s} < \frac{R}{3}$, and by denoting $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ we have $\forall t \in D$*

$$\frac{d\, g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T}{dt}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} g^{(p)}(T(t)) C(T(t))^{p-1} \left(\frac{d\,T}{dt}(t) \right). \tag{1.36}$$

In addition if $\sup_{t \in D} \| \frac{dT}{dt}(t) \|_{\mathcal{A}} < \infty$, then the series in the (1.36) *is absolutely uniformly convergent on D. This formula was been shown for the first time by Victor I. Burenkov in* [Bur].

Notice that $C(T(t))^0 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$

$$C(T(t))^n \left(\frac{d\,T}{dt}(t) \right) = \left[\cdots \left[\left[\frac{d\,T}{dt}(t), T(t) \right], T(t) \right], \cdots \right].$$

In particular if $\left[\frac{dT}{dt}(t), T(t) \right] = \mathbf{0}$, then

$$\frac{d\, g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T}{dt}(t) = g^{(1)}(T(t)) \frac{d\,T}{dt}(t). \tag{1.37}$$

If $\left[\left[\frac{dT}{dt}(t), T(t) \right], T(t) \right] = \mathbf{0}$ then

$$\frac{d\, g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T}{dt}(t) = g^{(1)}(T(t)) \frac{d\,T}{dt}(t) + \frac{1}{2} g^{(2)}(T(t)) \left[\frac{d\,T}{dt}(t), T(t) \right]$$

and so on.

Corollary 1.21. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital Banach algebra, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K}$ be such that the radius of convergence of the series $g(\lambda) \doteqdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is $R > 0$. Finally let $W \in \mathcal{A} - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $0 < s < R$, $D_{(s,W)} \doteqdot \left] -\frac{s}{\|W\|}, \frac{s}{\|W\|} \right[$ and*

$$T(t) = tW$$

$\forall t \in D_{(s,W)}$. Then with the notations adopted in the statements of Lemma 1.9, we have

(1)
$$g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n W^n$$
and the series is absolutely uniformly convergent for $t \in D_{(s,W)}$.

(2) *$g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T$ is derivable, the following map*

$$\frac{d\, g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T}{dt}(t) = W \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n t^{n-1} W^{n-1} = W \frac{d\,g}{d\lambda} \circ T(t) \tag{1.38}$$

$\forall t \in D_{(s,W)}$ *is the derivative function of* $g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T$*, is continuous and the series in the* (1.38) *is absolutely uniformly convergent.*

Proof. Statement (1) is trivial. The map T is derivable with constant derivative equal to $W \in \mathcal{A}$, hence we have statement (2) by Remark 1.20 and (1.34). □

2. APPLICATION TO THE ANALYTIC FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS IN A $\mathbb{C}-$BANACH SPACE

In this section G is a complex Banach space, we denote by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T for all $T \in B(G)$ and $(\forall U \in Open(\mathbb{C}) \mid \sigma(T) \subset U)(\forall g : U \to \mathbb{C}$ analytic$)$ by $g(T)$ the operator belonging to $B(G)$ as defined in the analytic functional calculus framework given in Definition $7.3.9.$ of the [DS], that is

$$g(T) \doteqdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B g(\lambda) R(\lambda; T) d\,\lambda.$$

Here $R(\lambda; T) \doteqdot (\lambda \mathbf{1} - T)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of T, while $B \subset U$ is the boundary of an open set containing $\sigma(T)$ and consisting of a finite number of rectificable Jordan curves. If U is an open neighborhood of 0 and $(\forall \lambda \in U)(g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n)$ then by Theorem $7.3.10.$ of the [DS] $g(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n$ converging in $B(G)$. Therefore for this case we can apply all the results in Section 1.

Corollary 2.1 (Frechet differential of an operator valued analytic function defined on an open set of a $\mathbb{R}-$Banach Space **).** *Let* U_0 *be an open neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $g : U_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ *an analytic function such that* $(\forall \lambda \in U_0)(g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n)$*. Let* $R > 0$ *be the radius of convergence of the series* $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$*. Finally let* X *be a Banach space over* $\mathbb{R}$, $D \subseteq X$ *an open set of* X *and* $T : D \to B(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$ *a Frechet differentiable mapping such that* $(\exists\, s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 0 < s < R)$

(1) $T(D) \subseteq B_s(\mathbf{0})$
(2) $(\forall x \in D)(\sigma(T(x)) \subseteq U_0)$

Then

(1)
$$g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^n.$$
Here the series absolutely uniformly converges on D.

(2) *The statements of Corollary 1.16, Remark 1.17 and Remark 1.18 hold with* $\mathcal{A}$ *replaced by* $B(G)_{\mathbb{R}}$*, while Remark 1.20 holds with* $\mathcal{A}$ *replaced by* $B(G)$.

Proof. The map $g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T$ is well defined by the condition $(\forall x \in D)(\sigma(T(x)) \subseteq U_0)$, while the power series expansion follows by Theorem $7.3.10.$ of the [DS]. Therefore statement 1 follows by the hypothesis $T(D) \subseteq B_s(\mathbf{0})$, with $0 < s < R$ and Remark 1.20. Statement 2 is by Corollary 1.16 and Remark 1.20. ☐

Remark 2.2. *If we assume that G is a complex Hilbert space and $T(x)$ is a normal operator $\forall x \in D$, then the condition $T(D) \subseteq B_s(\mathbf{0})$ is equivalent to the following one $(\forall x \in D)(\sigma(T(x)) \subseteq B_s(\mathbf{0}))$.*

Although the following is a well-known result, for the sake of completeness we shall give a proof by using Corollary 1.21.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be such that the radius of convergence of the series $g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda^n$ is $R > 0$. Moreover let $W \in B(G) - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $0 < s < R$, and $D_{(s,W)} \doteqdot \left]-\frac{s}{\|W\|}, \frac{s}{\|W\|}\right[$. Then the operator $g(tW)$ is well defined $\forall t \in D_{(s,W)}$ and*

$$g(tW) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n t^n W^n.$$

Here the series converges absolutely uniformly on $D_{(s,W)}$. Moreover the map $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto \frac{dg}{d\lambda}(tW)$ is Lebesgue integrable in $B(G)$ in the sense defined in [INT]*, Definition 2 Ch. IV, $\S 3$, $n^\circ 4$, and $\forall u_1, u_2 \in D_{(s,W)}$*

$$W \oint_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{dg}{d\lambda}(tW)\, dt = g(u_2 W) - g(u_1 W).$$

Here $\oint_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{dg}{d\lambda}(tW)\, dt$ is the Lebesgue integral of the map $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto \frac{dg}{d\lambda}(tW)$ as defined in Definition 1 Ch. IV, $\S 4$, $n^\circ 1$ of [INT].

Proof. By (1.3)

$$\mathcal{R}(W) \in B(B(G)). \tag{2.1}$$

Set $T(t) \doteqdot tW$ for all $t \in D_{(s,W)}$. Then $\frac{dg}{d\lambda} \circ T(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n t^{n-1} W^{n-1}$ and the map $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto \frac{dg}{d\lambda} \circ T(t)$ is continuous in $B(G)$, as a corollary of (1.38), by replacing the map g with $\frac{dg}{d\lambda}$, hence it is Lebesgue-meausurable in $B(G)$. Finally let $u_1, u_2 \in D_{(s,W)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int^*_{[u_1,u_2]} \|\frac{d\,g}{d\,\lambda}(tW)\| d\,t &= \int^*_{[u_1,u_2]} \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n n t^{n-1} W^{n-1}\| d\,t \\
&\leq \int^*_{[u_1,u_2]} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| n s^{n-1} d\,t \\
&= |u_2 - u_1| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| n s^{n-1} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By this boundedness and by its Lebesgue- meausurability we conclude by Theorem 5, $IV.71$ of [INT] that $[u_1, u_2] \ni t \mapsto \frac{d\,g}{d\lambda} \circ T(t) \in B(G)$ is Lebesgue-integrable in $B(G)$, so in particular by Definition 1, $IV.33$ of [INT]

$$\exists \oint_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{d\,g}{d\,\lambda} \circ T(t) d\,t \in B(G). \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore by (2.1), (2.2), Theorem 1, $IV.35$ of [INT] and (1.38)

$$W \oint_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{d\,g}{d\,\lambda} \circ T(t) d\,t = \oint_{u_1}^{u_2} W \frac{d\,g}{d\,\lambda} \circ T(t) d\,t = \oint_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{d\,g^{\mathbb{R}}(T(t))}{dt} d\,t. \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore by the continuity of the map $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto \frac{d\,g}{d\lambda} \circ T(t)$ in $B(G)$ and by (1.38), $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto \frac{d\,g^{\mathbb{R}}(T(t))}{dt}$ is continuous in $B(G)$ and it is the derivative of the map $D_{(s,W)} \ni t \mapsto g^{\mathbb{R}} \circ T$. Therefore it is Lebesgue integrable in $B(G)$, where the integral has to be understood as defined in Ch II of [FVR], see Proposition 3, $n°3$, $§1$, Ch II of [FVR]. Finally the Lebesgue integral for functions with values in a Banach space as defined in Ch II of [FVR], turns to be the integral with respect to the Lebesgue measure as defined in Ch. IV, $§4$, $n°1$ of [INT] (see Ch III, $§3$, $n°3$ and example in Ch IV, $§4$, $n°4$ of [INT]). Thus the statement follows by (2.3). ☐

One of the main aims of a future paper is proving this formula for a certain class of unbounded operators in a Banach space and by considering the integral in weaker topologies than that induced by the norm in $B(G)$.

REFERENCES

GT. Bourbaki,N. *Genereal topology Part 1, Part 2.* Springer-Verlag, 1989.
LIE. Bourbaki,N. *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Chapters* $1-3$. Springer-Verlag, 1989
FVR. Bourbaki,N. *Functions of a real variable.* Springer-Verlag, 2003

INT. Bourbaki,N. *Integration I.* Springer-Verlag, 2003

BR. Bratteli, O; Robinson, D.W. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics I. C^*- and W^*-algebras, symmetry groups, decomposition of states.* 2° *ed.* Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1987.

Bur. Burenkov, V.I. *The formula for the differentiation of functions of operators that depend on a parameter.* Mat. Zametki, Vol.10, 1971, 207–218.

Dal. Dales, H. G. *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity.* London Mathematical Society Monographs, 2000.

Dieu. Dieudonne', J. *Foundations of Modern Analysis 1.* Academic Press, 1969.

DS. Dunford,N; Schwartz, J.T. *Linear operators Vol 1,2,3.* Wiley Interscience, 1988.

Fle. Flett, T.M. *Differential Analysis.* Cambridge University Press, 1980.

KR. Kadison, R.V. Ringrose, J.R. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. volume 1,2.* American Mathematical Society, 1997.

Mar. Martin, R. S. *thesis.* California Institute of Technology, 1932.

Mic. Michal, A. D. *The Frechet differentials of regular power series in normed linear spaces.* Duke Math. J., Duke Mathematical Journal, 13, 1946, 57–59.

Pal. Palmer, T.W. *Banach Algebras and The General Theory of $*$Algebras Vol 1.* Cambridge Universiry Press, 1994.

Rud. Rudin, W. *Functional Analysis.* McGraw-Hill, 1973.

Scha. Schaeffer, A. C. *Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 47, 1941, 565–579.

Schw. Schwartz, L. *Analyse, I-IV.* Hermann, 1993.

Tak. Takesaki,M. *Theory of Operator Algebras I.* Springer-Verlag, 2001.

CARDIFF SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, SENGHENNYDD ROAD, CARDIFF CF24 4AG U.K

E-mail address: `silvestrib@Cardiff.ac.uk`